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Introduction

Angele Dei, qui custos est mei, Me tibi commissum pietate superna; (Hac nocte, hoc die) illumina, custodi, rege, et guberna. Amen.

Above is a prayer that has been taught to many Catholic children for some time. The concept of guardian angels is widely held in both scholarly and popular Catholic thought. The belief in angels is and has been a dogmatic pronouncement of the church since the Fourth Lateran Council. The concept of guardian angels, at least by the beginning of the early twentieth century, is not a dogmatic pronouncement but is, as it were, “in the mind of the church.”¹ The belief in protective spirits goes back to the earliest recorded civilizations nestled in the Euphrates and Tigris river valleys, where a tutelary spirit was known as a lamassu.² The concept of a lamassu refers to either a personal protectorate or to a tutelary deity of the land.³

What are the early Christian origins and development of the theories in regard to guardian angels? This paper intends to briefly investigate the early Catholic, pre-edict of Milan, development of the concept of guardian angels. First, an overview of etymological considerations will be discussed. Second, the development of theories concerning guardian angels developed from the Christian New Testament and other early Catholic literature will be examined. Finally, conclusions as to the overall developments in regard to guardian angels in the pre-Edict of Milan period will be recapitulated. Do to the brevity of this work, the Jewish influences of the concept of guardian angels, which the Christian belief is a continuation and

¹ Hugh Pope, "Guardian Angel," Catholic Encyclopedia VII (1910), 51. The dogmatic status of doctrine of the existence of guardian angels may have changed. Article 336 of the New Catechism of the Catholic Church states, “From its beginning until death, human life is surrounded by their watchful care and intercession.”² Beside each believer stands an angel as protector and shepherd leading him to life.”³ Already here on earth the Christian life shares by faith in the blessed company of angels and men united in God.”


development of, will not be discussed at length. Following the lead of Holy Scripture the masculine “him” will at times be used as an appellation for an angel, although being pure spirit an angel does not intrinsically possess gender. In this paper the term “Catholic” and the term “Christian” shall be used synonymously.

**Etymological Considerations**

What exactly is meant by the term guardian angel? In order to proceed one must first understand the meaning communicated by the words translated “guardian” and “angel,” both in isolation and in conjunction, at the time the author used them. It is a given fact that meanings of words can and do evolve, even radically change, over time. Thus, understanding the authorial intent of the words an author chose to use is crucial to truly understanding what he wished to communicate. One of the first Christian authors who used the terms translated as guardian or tutelary angels was Clement of Alexandria circa A.D. 195 (Stromatum 5.14). Clement constructed a difficult phrase in the Greek text which reads ἀγγέλλων τῶν ἑφεστῶν ἡκούσαν. This phrase can literally, although awkwardly, be translated “angels the ones having been set near and continue to remain, completely hearing.” The Latin text, which is a later translation, uses the nouns tutela and angelus. Of the two, the Greek text certainly contains the lectio difficilior. ἑφιστημι has the basic meaning of “set upon or near.” The inflection found in the text is a perfect participle communicating a past action whose effects continue into the present. In the current context this participle best communicates the concept that the angel is near to his ward.

---

Avkou, is a verb which communicates the lexical meaning of “hearing.” In the portion of Clement’s text under consideration it is inflected as an aorist active plural. This inflection communicates an action viewed as “complete and undifferentiated process” irrespective of the temporal duration. The concept would appear to be that the angels always and continuously hear his charge. It is interesting to note that one appellation assigned to certain angels in Holy Scriptures was, in Aramaic Ṿין, LXX ἀγγελός, Vulgate vigil (Daniel 4:13, 23). The Aramaic Ṿין literally means “waking or watchful.” This title emphasizes that these angels see all things in regards to their charge be it an individual or a group of people. In the Stromata we have a Catholic author communicating that certain angels hear all things in regards to their ward. Clement’s tone seems beneficial. An inference that Clement may have wished to specifically communicate is that one’s guardian angel always hears one’s prayers.

Tutela communicates the basic lexical meaning of “a watching, keeping, charge, care, safeguard, defense, protection.” In specific legal terminology of the author’s period the word meant “the office of a guardian, guardianship, wardship, tutelage.” In 4 Esdra the noun tutela is used to translate the LXX’s use of the Koine Greek noun ἀσφάλεια “security from enemies and danger.” It is interesting to note that the Latin translator used this single noun tutela to replace a participle (ἐφιστημι) and a verb (ἀκούω).

Angelus is a Latin term derived for the Greek ἀγγελός. The Latin word therefore communicates the same concept of messenger, as does its Greek counterpart. The Greek ἀγγελός has independent development in Hellenistic literature before being employed in the Septuagint. From its use in Homer and other classical Greek writings it conveys the concept of an envoy who

---

12 Ibid.
13 Ibid., 118.
was conceived of as being primarily human in nature.\textsuperscript{14} It was not principally until the time of
the development of the Platonic philosophical tradition that the term \textit{αγγέλος} communicated the
concept of an envoy who could be a preternatural being akin to the Hebrew concept of \textit{מַלֵּאֵך}.\textsuperscript{15}

The authors of the New Testament were primarily from a Semitic background, thus the Hebrew
concept of \textit{מַלֵּאֵך} must be touched upon. \textit{מַלֵּאֵך} communicates the concept of \textit{envoy} or
\textit{messenger}.\textsuperscript{16} To the Semitic mind the concept was not merely that of an errand-boy but of one
who had ambassadorial powers of an emissary, who fully represented the party on whose behalf
he was sent.\textsuperscript{17} The term \textit{angelus} could apply to either spiritual or human agents; however, during
the patristic period under investigation the word seems to have acquired a technical aspect
reserved specifically for beings with a purely spirit essence.\textsuperscript{18}

From the above etymological analysis it can be deduced that when the terms
are combined in their respective languages they become an appellation for a spiritual being who is
sent as an envoy, guide and guardian, who is near and attentive to whomever God has assigned
him. To paraphrase Augustine, whose writings are anachronistic to this paper’s chronological
parameters, the term guardian angel, in and of itself, refers to the being’s office and not its
nature.

\textbf{A cursory selection from the New Testament Scriptures
and other early Christian literature}

Our Lord pronounced one of the key passages in the Christian development of the
concept of guardian angels:

\begin{quote}
Ορᾶτε μὴ καταφρονήσητε ἐνός τῶν μικρῶν τούτων λέγω γάρ ἵμαρα ὅτι οἱ ἁγγελοὶ
αὐτῶν ἐν οὐρανοῖς διὰ παντὸς βλέπουσι τὸ πρόσωπον τοῦ πατρὸς μου τοῦ ἐν οὐρανοῖς.
(Matthew 18:10)
\end{quote}

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{14} Gerhard Kittle, "Αγγελοσ," in \textit{Theological Dictionary of the New Testament}, ed. Gerhard Kittle (Grand Rapids:
\textsuperscript{15} Babcock, "Angels.", 54.
\textsuperscript{16} Francis Briggs Brown, Charles, \textit{Brown-Driver-Briggs Hebrew & English Lexicon} (Peabody: Hendrickson
\textsuperscript{17} R Ficker, "Mal'ak," in \textit{Theological Lexicon of the Old Testament}, ed. Ernst & Westerman Jenni, Claus (Peabody:
Hendrickson, 1997)., 668.
\textsuperscript{18} Kittle, "Αγγελοσ.", 83.
\end{flushright}
From this pericope it is obvious that each little one has a guardian angel. Yet, who exactly are the μικρῶν “little ones?” Origen commented that the term referred to newly baptized Christians (De Liber Matthaeum 27); however, from the original context it appears that Matthew in his use of the term “little ones” is referring to παιδίων. In chapter eighteen verse ten Matthew does not use the qualifier τῶν πιστεύωντων εἰς ἐμέ that he uses in verse six. From this lack of the qualifier in verse ten, it may be speculated that all little ones have a guardian angel and not just the baptized ones.

From certain of Origen’s writings it seems that he believed that, in regard to individuals, only those who were Christians had good guardian angels (De Liber Matthaeum 28). He specifically alludes to Titus 3:5 and 1 Peter 2:2 in his rationale as to why one must first become a Christian in order to be assigned a good attending angel (De Liber Matthaeum 29). It is also possible to base such ideology on another passage of Holy Writ:

οὐχὶ πάντες εἰσὶν λειτουργικὰ πνεύματα εἰς διακονίαν ἀποστελλόμενα διὰ τούς μέλλοντας κληρονομεῖν σωτηρίαν; (Hebrews 1:14)

In the above passage the author of Hebrews appears to have stated that the angelic ministry is reserved to those who will inherit salvation. Nothing is mentioned concerning those whom God foreknows will choose the perceived good over the true good. One must ask, does this pericope teach that only those who accept salvation are assigned guardian angels? The concept of exactly who does and does not have a guardian angel assigned to them is not fully developed in the early patristic period. There are conflicting ideas even within writings attributed to the same authors.

Two other questions that arises from Hebrews 1:14 that the early patristic writers did comment upon are: What exactly is meant by the term ministering (λειτουργικός)? What kind of service (διακονία) do these beings render? The author of the Shepherd of Hermas stated that there are two angels assigned to each person, one good the other evil, whose duties are to persuade their ward respectively (Mandatum 6.2.1-10).19 Origen speculated that only one angel was assigned but would change in nature depending upon the baptized condition of his ward (De

Liber Matthaeum 13.28). Clement of Alexander wrote that angels were distributed (νέμω) being given oversight of ἐθνη, πολεῖς and τινὲς (Stromata 6.17).²⁰ These guardian angels are placed near their charges and constantly hear their charges. Origen stated that a primary purpose of guardian angels is to carry the prayers of their wards to the throne of God where they join their prayers to those of their human dependents (Contra Celsus 8.36).

A question that should be addressed is whether or not the ministering spirits have an affinity for those to whom they are sent to serve. One scriptural pericope that may give insight into the answer for this question is found in the book of Joshua.

Joshua 5:13-14

Now it came about when Joshua was by Jericho, that he lifted up his eyes and looked, and behold, a man was standing opposite him with his sword drawn in his hand, and Joshua went to him and said to him, "Are you for us or for our adversaries?" 14 He said, "No; rather I indeed come now as captain of the host of the LORD." And Joshua fell on his face to the earth, and bowed down, and said to him, "What has my lord to say to his servant?"

²⁰ Alexandria, "Stromata.", 389.
It is imperative to note the response given by the angel to Joshua’s inquiry as to whom the angel is “for.” The angels response is שָׁם usually translated as “neither,” but literally “no.”

What is especially interesting about the angels use of שָׁם is that it is the Hebrew durative negation as opposed to לא, which negates a particular instance. This means that the angel was not responding to just the specific circumstance, but omnitemporal circumstances. From this passage in divinely inspired, inerrant Scripture it seems that a good angel’s only delight is to do the will of God and to carry out his commands. As to which mortals benefit or suffer from his services for the Almighty seems to be of little concern to a good angel.

In order to “prove” that angels are concerned with individuals, some may refer to Luke 15:10 where one reads γίνεται χαρὰ ἐνῷ πίνει τῶν ἄγγελων τοῦ θεοῦ ἐπὶ ἐν ἁμαρτωλῷ μετανοοῦντι. Yet what exactly does this mean? While the passage informs us that there will be rejoicing in the presence of the angels, the text is silent as to the intellectual disposition of the angels toward that one sinner. Are the angels rejoicing because they have an affinity toward the person who repented or because they love God and one person has freely accepted God’s plan for his or her life (1 Timothy 2:3-4)? Or is it both? In regards to the guardian angels’ affections toward us, one modern Catholic philosopher commented, “If anything, we are like pets to them.” Yet, there are other Catholic writers who claim that our guardian angels are “desirous” of being our closest friends. Whatever explanation one gives to abstract the true nature of reality in regards to the above issue the infallible, inerrant authorial intent of God’s Word as it is found in Joshua 5:13-14 must not be contradicted or ignored.

**Conclusion**

While the doctrine of the existence of guardian angels was extant in the writings of the early church fathers, the concepts and perceptions were far from uniform. The speculations and
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25 Paul O'Sullivan, *All About the Angels* (Rockford: Tan, 1990), xi.
development of the doctrine concerning guardian angels during the period examined were not
greatly flushed out. One could offer many reasons for this, the ten great persecutions from
Domitian to Diocletian, the nascence of the Christian movement, the focus on missionary zeal
over and above theological speculation, etc. What was agreed upon was that Catholics had
guardian angels, at least from the time of their baptism. Guardian angels were constantly in the
presence of God and their charges. They are sent to serve and minister to those whom God
assigns them. They carried the prayers of their charges to the throne of the almighty where they
added their petitions to those of their charges. The guardian angels communicated the will of
God to their protectorates. They provided guidance and oversight while leaving the inalienable
freedom of choice of the human person unmolested. One’s guardian angel can be ignored and
perhaps even silenced if one habitually chooses the apparent good over the true good.

One’s guardian angel is not to be the end of ones spiritual speculation, but must lead to
the one true and living God. The temptation if one were to see an angel in his angelic splendor is
to fear and worship him (Revelation 19:10). Contemplation of one’s guardian angel must lead to
the creator of all things. The early Christians realized that all providence, be it mediated through
an angel or not, originates from the God and Father of all. Origen wrote a profound truth that
should touch upon the attitude of Catholics in regard to one’s guardian angel in the day-to-day
working of things. A truth that is as relevant today as it was in AD 248:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One ought to entrust himself wholly to the supreme God through Jesus Christ, who has given us such instruction, and asking of him all help, and the guardianship of good and righteous angels, to defend us from the demons of the earth intent to bring about lust for fleshly pleasures (συντετηκότων), and blood, and sacrificial odors, and strange sounds (singing/chanting) and other such (sensual) things. (Contra Celsus)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>τὸ ἐαυτὸν ἐμπιστεύσαι τῷ ἐπὶ πᾶσι Θεῷ διὰ τοῦ τὴν τοιαύτην ἡμῖν διὰσκαλίαν ὑποθεμένου Ἰησοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ ἀπ’ ἐκείνου αἰτεῖν πᾶσαν βοήθειαν καὶ φρουρᾶν τὴν ἀπὸ ἀγίων ἀγγέλων καὶ δικαίων ἰν’ ἡμᾶς φύσεσαι ἀπὸ τῶν περιγείων δαμόνων καὶ γενέσει συντετηκότων καὶ αἴματι καὶ κινήσῃ προσημαμένων καὶ ἄλλοις μελῳδίαις ἀγομένων καὶ ἄλλοις τοιούτοις προσδεσμένων. (Contra Celsus)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Artificial methods of contraception are banned. Thus the only way for a Catholic couple to be faithful to the Church's teachings on human sexuality and to avoid having children is to use 'natural' family planning. Many Catholics have decided to disobey church teaching in this part of their lives, causing a substantial breach between laity and the Church establishment. Top. History of Christian attitudes. For most of the last 2000 years all Christian churches have been against artificial birth control. In the first centuries of Christianity, contraception (and abortion) were rega The invention of the printing press in the 1450s aided in spreading the Renaissance beyond Italy and encouraged the growth of vernacular literature, which would eventually contribute to the development of national cultures. - Gutenberg's printing press based on METAL MOVABLE TYPE -- dramatically reduced cost of printing and boosted literacy - Luther's German version of Bible - Shakespeare's plays in English.Â Medici family patronized Botticelli (Primavera and Birth of Venus), Donatello (bronze David), and Michelangelo - Pope Julius II commissioned Michelangelo to paint Sistine Chapel ceiling & Raphael's School of Athens - Renaissance works like School of Athens The spectre of many national churches supplanting a unitary Catholic church became a grim reality during the age of the Reformation.Â The most traumatic era in the entire history of Roman Catholicism, some have argued, was the period from the middle of the 14th century to the middle of the 16th. This was the time when Protestantism, through its definitive break with Roman Catholicism, arose to take its place on the Christian map. It was also the period during which the Roman Catholic Church, as an entity distinct from other â€œbranchesâ€ of Christendom, even of Western Christendom, came into being.Â The standing of the church within the political order and the class structure of western Europe was irrevocably altered in the course of the later Middle Ages. How did the former â€œDefender of the Faithâ€ end up ushering in the English Reformation? King Henry VIII wanted out from his first marriage. Though early signs of anticlericalism had surfaced in England by the 1520s, Catholicism still enjoyed widespread popular support. As for Henry VIII, he â€œhad no wish and no need to break with the church,â€ says Andrew Pettegree, professor of history at the University of St. Andrews (U.K.). â€œNo need because he already enjoyed substantial power over the English church and its income...And he had no wish also, because he was personally rather pious.â€ But by 1527 The Edict of Milan was a letter signed by the Roman emperors Constantine and Licinius, that proclaimed religious toleration in the Roman Empire. The letter was issued in February, 313 AD and began the persecution of Christians. With the Edict of Milan there began a period when Constantine granted favors to the Christian Church and its members. The exact words of the edict are no longer known.